Reference: RM-10740

I strongly reject any new rulemaking policy that would impose $5.6~\rm{kHz}$ RF bandwidth limits on AM (A3E) or $2.8~\rm{kHz}$ RF bandwidth limits on SSB (J3E) modes of HF operation.

The Amateur Radio HF spectrum has enjoyed sufficient freedom of experimentation through loose rule interpretation regarding occupied bandwidth since its inception.

The AM mode of operation, (A3E) has been an Amateur Radio staple for decades and is still enjoyed by many operators who find this mode both challenging and rewarding for its technical merit, warm sounding audio characteristics supported by RF bandwidths beyond 5.6 kHz inherent in classic equipment that has been either

home-brewed, restored or commercially built. This traditional mode has led to a community of highly skilled and technically knowledgeable operators who's

and contributions to the Amateur Radio service have been nothing short of necessary

for the development of this hobby as we know it today. Also, there has been a resurgence of operators who are passionate about the art and science of quality ARE

emissions, which has always been at the heart and soul of Amateur Radio.

To impose a 5.6 kHz RF bandwidth restriction to AM (A3E), is to (1) murder a vast

majority of equipment that has been in service for decades, as well as new commercial

equipment available on the market, (2) kill the operations of both new and seasoned

Amateurs who have enjoyed the fruits of their hard earned labor and (3) slaughter the

very spirit of this nostalgic part of the hobby that has led to numerous advancements

of the state of the art, not to mention the technical merits, camaraderie and friendships

enjoyed. This has been the result of the past and current bandwidth freedoms that are

currently enjoyed by so many.

For these reasons, I profoundly reject any new $\operatorname{rule}(s)$ or law imposing an RF bandwidth

limitation of 5.6 kHz regarding the AM (A3E) mode of operation on HF.

Furthermore, with HF SSB (J3E formerly A3J) being the half-bandwidth child of AM (A3E).

I would apply the same philosophy and reasoning. ${\tt J3E}$ inherently occupies one half of the

RF spectrum as that of A3E, while producing the same AF quality. SSB (J3E) is already,

by default, a bandwidth conserving mode regardless of the resulting and desired $\ensuremath{\mathtt{RF}/\mathtt{AF}}$

bandwidth occupied, simply in light of the fact that its RF and AF spectrum are equal if

properly adjusted. And, in respect to the removal of the carrier and opposite sideband,

 ${\tt J3E}$ bandwidths beyond 2.8 kHz is and has been suitable and compatible for all ${\tt SSB}$

operations, regardless of its bandwidth. There have always been interference issues on

the HF bands regardless of mode or bandwidth, and because of the shared nature of

Amateur Radio, there will continue to be interference issues. New Bandwidth rules can

not nor will not change this reality of a shared service!

For these reasons, I profoundly reject any new rule(s) imposing an RF bandwidth limitation of 2.8 kHz regarding the SSB (J3E) mode of operation on HF.

The scope and versatility of Amateur Radio encompasses many interests and pursuits

within the mandated and experimental aspect of this service. All current aspects of

Amateur Radio should be supported without prejudice and without restricting the interests

of the majority or the many, in spite of the few with an agenda who would vote to destroy

the freedoms that we all now currently enjoy.

If used in a conscientious and responsible manner, as with all Amateur Radio operations,

both A3E and J3E, without any new RF bandwidth limitations, can continue to enjoy

bandwidths that support quality emissions without being an offense or menace to the

operations of others using narrower bandwidths. The friction and issues that led to the

petitions filed by WOYR and W6FDR have not been, in my view, a bandwidth issue, but

rather, one of acceptance and co-existence of new ideas and techniques used in this

shared service.

Summary:

The solution is not, and never will be one of bandwidth management, but rather one of

anger management, and of being more tolerant of ideas and pursuits not necessarily $% \left(1\right) =\left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left(1\right) +\left(1\right) \left(1\right) \left($

shared by those who resist change and progress.

I vote to keep the heart, soul and spirit of Amateur Radio alive by rejecting any new

bandwidth rule(s) for A3E or J3E on HF, which would otherwise and inherently destroy the

freedom of experimentation that has been enjoyed by so many from the very beginning.

New laws rarely change the hearts of men, and always diminish the freedom of all.

Sincerely,

John M. Anning, NU9N